

## Student Writing Committee - Clinical Case Report Rubric

Category	Scales
<b>Abstract</b>	<p><b>3</b> = Detailed abstract that clearly and concisely sums up the case report</p> <p><b>2</b> = Abstract leaves out minor details, has minor 'flow' issues</p> <p><b>1</b> = Abstract leaves out major details, has major 'flow' issues</p> <p><b>0</b> = Abstract is difficult to read and/or does not adequately summarize the case report</p>
<b>Background:</b> (briefly summarize the current literature on this case)	<p><b>3</b> = provides adequate introduction to the case report's topic (i.e. injury, illness, etc.) condition along with relevant information that orients the reader <u>specific to the topic of interest</u>.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides non-specific background information that does not tie in well to the case report's topic.</p> <p><b>1</b> = provides a very generic background.</p> <p><b>0</b> = inappropriate background and/or was difficult to read and/or understand</p>
<b>Patient:</b> (age, sex, sport of individual, primary complaint and pertinent aspects of his/her medical history)	<p><b>3</b> = thorough, relevant, and understandable patient demographics, mechanism, chief complaint, and any pertinent medical history, if relevant.</p> <p><b>2</b> = generic patient data provided, provides chief complaint, may or may not discuss medical history.</p> <p><b>1</b> = patient data missing or unclear; wordy and does not communicate effectively the patient demographics or the exact primary complaint and/or medical history.</p> <p><b>0</b> = no relevant information provided concerning this injury or patient/client was identified, and/or was very difficult to read and understand.</p>
<b>Findings:</b> (swelling, point tenderness, ROM and muscle function, special tests performed, referrals and subsequent diagnostic tests)	<p><b>3</b> = provides a stepwise temporal outline that details the objective findings.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides incomplete but orderly objective findings.</p> <p><b>1</b> = provides a few random objective findings.</p> <p><b>0</b> = very difficult to read and understand basic objective findings</p>
<b>Differential Diagnoses:</b> (differential diagnosis and diagnosis, severity of disease, a concise summary of the physical findings)	<p><b>3</b> = provides a concise set of potential diagnoses (and final diagnosis) that are relevant to the information provided in the patient and findings sections.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides a general list of diagnoses that are not specific to the information provided in the patient and findings sections.</p> <p><b>1</b> = lists the diagnosis rather than a set of differential diagnoses</p> <p><b>0</b> = very difficult to read and understand basic premise.</p>
<b>Treatment or intervention:</b> (treatment: surgical, modalities, physical rehabilitation, etc.)	<p><b>3</b> = provides an accurate, chronological, and detailed list of interventions.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides a minimum amount of information specific to interventions or does not list interventions in a precise, chronological order.</p> <p><b>1</b> = provides a generic, non-detailed summary of treatments provided</p> <p><b>0</b> = provides no real interventions nor a legitimate timeline.</p>
<b>Uniqueness:</b> (expected results from intervention)	<p><b>3</b> = provides a strong case as to why this particular condition is unique from other related events.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides a weak case as to why this condition is unique, or fails to make a compelling case as to why this condition is unique.</p> <p><b>1</b> = fails to provide evidence as to why this case is unique from others, or claims that the uniqueness is due to the condition or event being 'rare'.</p> <p><b>0</b> = does not expound upon why the case is unique.</p>
<b>Conclusion:</b> (a brief description of what makes this case unique)	<p><b>3</b> = provides a clear and concise summary of the facts of the case report as well as what medicine can learn from this case.</p> <p><b>2</b> = provides a wordy or overly-summarized summary of the case.</p> <p><b>1</b> = provides information that does not adequately summarize the case</p> <p><b>0</b> = fails to provide a real conclusion to the case report.</p>
<b>Spelling/Grammar/Formatting</b>	<p><b>3</b> = no spelling, grammar, or formatting issues in title page, abstract, main body</p> <p><b>2</b> = minor (&lt;5) spelling, grammar, or formatting issues that do not influence the readability of the paper</p> <p><b>1</b> = major (&gt;5) spelling, grammar, or formatting issues that do not influence the readability of the paper</p> <p><b>0</b> = major spelling, grammar, or formatting issues that influence the readability of the paper</p>

**Student Writing Committee - Clinical Case Report Rubric**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Score (0-3)</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<i>Abstract</i>		
<i>Background:</i>		
<i>Patient:</i>		
<i>Findings:</i>		
<i>Differential Diagnoses:</i>		
<i>Treatment or intervention:</i>		
<i>Uniqueness:</i>		
<i>Conclusion:</i>		
<i>Spelling/Grammar/Formatting</i>		

**Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_/27**