Title: ORIGINAL RESEARCH Evaluation Criteria	0 - Unacceptable	1 - Poor	2 - Minimally	3 -Competent	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent	
							/90
Meets guidelines (ex:12 point font, arial font, double space, page numbers, )							
Uses AMA style of writing							
Uses the AMA style guide for reference list with appropriate number of peer-reviewed references (integrated into the text)							
Tables, Figures, pictures on separate page following reference page							
Abstract 300 word maximum included							
Uses accurate spelling, grammar, and punctuation							
Writes using professional language							
Organizes paper in a logical manner							
Communicates accurate content							
Introduction is thorough, defines the problem, provides literature review (x2)							
Methods are clear, appropriate, well explained (x2)							
Results reflect appropriate statistical analysis and findings (x2)							
Discussion offers insight to results/findings and compares to other work (x2)							
Clear summary/conclusion and relevance to AT made known							
Comments:							

Criteria	Exemplary	Proficient	Unacceptable	Rubric Score Assigned with
	5	3	1	Supporting Comments
Format and Guidelines *Guidelines, formatting, and presentation	•Meets ALL guidelines (ex:12 point font, Arial font, double space, page numbers Tables, Figures, pictures on separate page following reference page)	• Meets almost all guidelines (ex:12 point font, Arial font, double space, page numbers, Tables, Figures, pictures on separate page following reference page)	• Fails to meet the guidelines (ex:12 point font, Arial font, double space, page numbers, Tables, Figures, pictures on separate page following reference page)	
Introduction *Literature review, hypothesis/research questions	◆Clearly identifies and discusses research focus  ◆Research focus is clearly grounded in previous research/theoretically relevant literature  ◆Significance is established  ◆Hypotheses/research clearly articulated	◆Limited discussion of research focus/purpose  ◆Research focus is less well-grounded in previous research/theoretically relevant literature  ◆Significance of the research is not clearly identified as possible  ◆Hypotheses/research are articulated but vague or not well stated	◆Minimal to little discussion of research focus/purpose  ◆Research focus is clearly not grounded in previous research/theoretically relevant literature  ◆Significance is not well established  ◆Hypotheses/research are not clearly articulated	
Research Methods *Procedures and steps to collect and analyze the data	◆Provides accurate and through description of the procedures and methods to collect data ◆ Plan is appropriate and matches research agenda and purpose outlined in the introduction ◆ Statistical analyses are well described and are appropriate	Description is sufficient, but limited regarding the procedures and methods used to collect data     Plan is sufficient and provides an appropriate way to address the research agenda and purpose outlined in the introduction     Statistical analyses are described and appear appropriate	<ul> <li>Description of the procedures and methods to collect data is incomplete, general, too vague, or confusing</li> <li>Plan is does not appear to be well planned or appropriate to adequately address the research agenda and purpose outlined in the introduction</li> <li>Statistical analyses are inappropriate</li> </ul>	
Results *Findings and presentation of the data as collected by procedures detailed	<ul> <li>Results are clearly explained in a comprehensive level of detail</li> <li>Organized clearly and supported when appropriate by figures, tables, and data</li> <li>Statistical analyses are accurately deciphered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Results are explained in a sufficient level, but could have been clearly presented or in greater detail</li> <li>Organized and supported when appropriate by figures, tables, and data (but could be done better)</li> <li>Statistical analyses are fairly interpreted</li> </ul>	Results are not clearly explained in a comprehensive level of detail     Organized clearly and supported when appropriate by figures, tables, and data     Statistical analyses are not accurately deciphered	
Conclusions *Discussion of the findings and interpretation and comparisons	<ul> <li>Interpretations are thoughtful, insightful, and clearly informed by the findings</li> <li>Discussions address the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interpretations are sufficient,</li> <li>but somewhat lacking in</li> <li>thoughtfulness or insight</li> <li>Discussions provide some</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interpretations are insufficient, lacking in thoughtfulness or insight</li> <li>Discussions fail to provide</li> </ul>	

to literature	findings and provide explanation	explanation and application	explanation and application	
	and application	<ul> <li>Discussion of the findings as it</li> </ul>	• Discussion of the findings as it	
	<ul> <li>Intuitive discussion of the</li> </ul>	relates to AT are fair and there is	relates to AT are deficient and	
	findings as it relates to AT and	some advancement of knowledge	there is little advancement of	
	advances the knowledge of	for the reader	knowledge for the reader	
	reader	Suggestions for future research	Suggestions for future research	
	Suggestions for future research	made and mirror the limitations	are not made or appear	
	made and mirror the limitations	of the study being presented	inappropriate	
	of the study being presented			
Overall	◆No spelling & grammatical	◆Minimal spelling &	Spelling & grammatical errors	
*Quality of the writing and	errors	grammatical errors	are present throughout	
presentation of the information to	◆Logical presentation and flow	◆Fairly logical presentation of	◆Disorganization is obvious	
the reader	to the manuscript	materials and flow to the	throughout the presentation of	
	<ul> <li>Language is professional and</li> </ul>	manuscript	the study	
	scientific and demonstrates a	<ul> <li>◆Language is appropriate,</li> </ul>	◆Language lacks a professional	
	level of sophistication with	professional and scientific and	tone and fails to demonstrate a	
	writing style	demonstrates a level of	scientific approach and	
		proficiency with writing style	demonstrates a poor level of	
			writing	
				TOTAL SCORE /30