

Literature Review Evaluation Criteria

Criteria	Exemplary 5	Proficient 3	Unacceptable 1	Rubric Score Assigned with Supporting Comments
<b>Title</b>	♦ Meets all guidelines: - 16 word maximum	♦ More than 16 words)	♦ More than 16 words and does not clearly articulate purpose of the review	
<b>Format and Guidelines</b>	♦ Meets all guidelines: - 12 point Arial font - double-spaced - page numbers - AMA style of writing - AMA style for reference list - tables/figures on separate pg	♦ ≤ 3 guidelines not met	♦ ≥ 5 guidelines not met	
<b>Abstract</b> (first line of the abstract is the title of the paper)	♦ All guidelines met - 300 word maximum - no spelling mistakes - no grammar mistakes - content relevant and clear	♦ ≤ 2 guidelines not met	♦ ≥ 3 guidelines not met	
<b>Writing</b>	♦ Meets all guidelines - accurate spelling, grammar, & punctuation - professional terms used - logically organized - subheadings used appropriately	♦ ≤ 2 guidelines not met	♦ ≥ 3 guidelines not met	
<b>Content</b>	♦ Meets all guidelines - Intro provides overview of topic & rationale for review - Info included relevant to topic and organized by appropriate categorization(s) - Info included is accurate/consistent with current literature - Synthesis of available literature provided (not merely restating methods/results) - Tables/Figures are included and are organized & important to topic	♦ ≤ 3 guidelines not met	♦ ≥ 4 guidelines not met	
<b>Conclusion</b>	♦ Meets all guidelines - Concisely summarizes review - Provides closing remarks on clinical application/impact - Provides direction for future research	♦ ≤ 2 guidelines not met	♦ ≥ 3 guidelines not met	
				<b>TOTAL SCORE ____/30</b>