Free Communications Awards – Abstract Scoring Rubric

Rate your level of agreement for how well the presenter achieved each content-related category below.

	0 several major weaknesses	1	3	4 several moderate weaknesses	5	6	7 several minor weaknesses	8	9	10 Flawless	N/A
Context Sufficient background provided. Establishes the gap in the field to be addressed. Study addresses an important problem in the field or an important clinical question. Clear, concise, engaging. Ends with a clear, concise, and relevant purpose statement that identifies the target population, intervention, comparison, and outcomes (as appropriate).	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	С	(0	C
Methods Methods appropriate for study purpose/questions. Research strategy and methodology appropriate and described in adequate detail. Analyses are appropriate to answer question(s) of study and adequately powered	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	С	(0	C
Results Clearly presented with sufficient data; Connected to the study purpose/research questions; Provides explanation of what was expected, discovered, accomplished, collected, produced. If applicable for Tables/Figures: Clear, effective display of data/results; Does not repeat text; Easy to understand as standalone figure/table	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	С	(. 0	C
Conclusions	0	С	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	С	(0	C

Study findings challenge or build on current knowledge. Results are accurately interpreted and applied. The information in the presentation will impact AT research, education, and/or clinical practice.

Writing

Writing appropriate for the profession; Defines all acronyms at first use; Appropriate verse tense (present/past when talking about study, future tense for contribution to the discipline).

Overall

Consider the study in its entirety, the overall significance, approach, innovation, and impact on the profession is of highest quality.

